

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

of

Hari Balakrishnan, Anantha P. Chandrakasan and Wendi B. Heinzelman

for

METHOD FOR LOW-ENERGY ADAPTIVE CLUSTERING HIERARCHY

DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP
275 Turnpike Street - Suite 101
Canton, Massachusetts 02021-2310
Telephone: 781-401-9988
Facsimile: 781-401-9966

Express Mail Label No: **EK633835675US**

METHOD FOR LOW-ENERGY ADAPTIVE CLUSTERING HIERARCHY

STATEMENTS REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH

5 Not applicable.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

10 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to data communications networks. More specifically, the present invention relates to energy efficient wireless networks.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 As is known in the art, a distributed microsensor network is a network in which multiple, small, inexpensive, easy to handle sensors, interfaced with microprocessors, are deployed and distributed in a region for monitoring and control purposes. The microprocessors can transfer data collected by the sensors along with network control information among the microprocessors themselves or to a central base station via
20 communication paths.

In some instances the microprocessors and attached sensors, collectively referred to as microsensor nodes or more simply nodes, are physically separated from each other but are coupled via a wireless network to provide a wireless distributed microsensor
25 network. Using wireless communication between the nodes eliminates the need for a fixed communications infrastructure.

Each microsensor node includes a microprocessor, associated microsensor, power source and control, and a communications interface. The communications interface can
30 be a radio frequency (RF) transmitter and receiver in wireless applications. In addition to

the nodes themselves being relatively inexpensive, deployment of wireless microsensor node networks is relatively inexpensive compared with conventional networks which utilize relatively expensive macrosensors which are directly wired to a central controller.

5 These microsensor networks are fault-tolerant, due to the sheer number of nodes which can ensure that there is enough redundancy in data acquisition even if not all nodes are functional. A limitation on the fault-tolerant property is that connectivity between all remaining nodes and a central base station must be maintained when some nodes fail or run out of energy.

10

Such wireless distributed microsensor networks are used to monitor a variety of environments for both civil and military applications. For example, for a security system, acoustic, seismic, and video sensors can be used to form an ad hoc wireless network to detect intrusions. Microsensors can also be used to monitor machines for
15 fault detection and diagnosis.

15

Communication protocols, in such wireless distributed networks can have significant impact on the overall energy dissipation of these networks. Ideally, network protocols provide fault tolerance in the presence of individual node failure while
20 minimizing energy consumption.

20

Eventually, the data being sensed by the nodes in the network must be transmitted to the central base station, where the end-user can access the data. One problem with wireless microsensor node networks, however, is that channel bandwidth
25 is a limited network resource which must be shared among all the sensors in the network. Thus, it is desirable to provide routing protocols for these networks which reduce bandwidth requirements for data transmission

25

There are many possible models for wireless microsensor node networks. For
30 example, some microsensor networks include a fixed base station and distributed sensors

30

located relatively far from the base station. Generally increased distance from the base station requires increased RF energy to be expended by a node to communicate with the base station. In such networks, the nodes in the network typically are homogeneous and energy-constrained. One problem with homogeneous and energy constrained networks is that communication between the sensor nodes and the base station is relatively expensive in terms of energy consumption.

To overcome this problem, some systems focus on energy-optimized solutions at all levels of the network hierarchy, from the physical layer and communication protocols up to the application layer and efficient DSP design for microsensor nodes. These approaches, however, are sometimes relatively expensive and complex to implement.

There have been several network routing protocols proposed for wireless networks. In one approach referred to as a direct communication protocol approach, each sensor sends its data directly to the base station. One problem with this approach, however, is that if the base station is far away from the nodes, direct communication between the base station and the nodes requires a relatively large amount of transmit power from each node. The need for a relatively large amount of transmit power quickly drains the node battery and thereby reduces the system lifetime. Another problem with direct communication protocol approaches is that sensor networks contain too much data for transmission. Also, the sensor networks contain more data than can be efficiently processed by an end-user. Therefore, automated methods of combining or aggregating the data into a small set of meaningful information is required.

A second approach is a so-called “minimum-energy” routing protocol. In networks using minimum-energy protocols, nodes route data destined ultimately for the base station through intermediate nodes. Thus, nodes act as routers for other nodes’ data in addition to sensing the environment and transmitting locally collected data.

One problem with this approach is that the router nodes can quickly run out of

power. There are some minimum energy protocols which only consider the energy of the transmitter and neglect the energy dissipation of the receivers in determining the routes. In such protocols, the intermediate nodes are chosen such that the transmit amplifier energy (thus node energy) is minimized. However, for this minimum-transmission-energy (MTE) routing protocol, rather than just one (relatively high-energy) transmission of the data, each data message must go through n (low-energy) transmissions and n receptions. Thus, depending on the relative costs of the transmit amplifier and the radio electronics, the total energy expended in the network might actually be greater using MTE routing than direct transmission to the base station.

10

In MTE routing, the nodes closest to the base station are used to route a large number of data messages to the base station. Thus these nodes will die out quickly, causing the energy required to get the remaining data to the base station to increase and more nodes to die. This will create a cascading effect that will shorten system lifetime. In addition, as nodes close to the base station die, that area of the environment is no longer being monitored. Conventional approaches to routing such as MTE contain these drawbacks when the nodes are all energy-constrained.

15

When transmission energy is on the same order as reception energy, which occurs when transmission distance is short and/or the radio electronics energy is high, direct transmission is more energy-efficient on a global scale than MTE routing. Thus the most energy-efficient protocol to use in any particular application depends upon the network topology and radio parameters of the network.

20

25

It would, therefore, be desirable to provide a network communication protocol that minimizes energy dissipation in sensor networks. It would also be desirable to evenly distribute the energy load among the sensor nodes in the network. It would further be desirable to reduce the amount of information that must be transmitted to the base station and increase the use of the communications bandwidth. It would be still further desirable to provide a wireless network having many microsensor nodes and a prolonged

30

system life when the nodes are energy-constrained.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 In view of the above problems and limitations of existing distributed sensor networks and protocols and in accordance with the present invention, the importance of balancing the node energy load, and reducing the data transmitted when the nodes are energy-constrained has been recognized. It would therefore be desirable to provide a network having a clustering-based protocol which utilizes randomized rotation of local
10 cluster-heads, localized coordination to enable scalability and robustness for dynamic networks, and the incorporation of data fusion into the routing protocol to reduce the amount of information that must be transmitted to a base station.

In accordance with an aspect of the present invention, a network includes a base
15 station and a plurality of nodes. Each of the nodes has a low energy mode, and a high energy mode and are organized into node clusters. Each node cluster includes a designated cluster-head. Each of the nodes in the cluster collects information and transmits the information to the cluster-head. The cluster-head then transmits the information collected by the nodes in the cluster to the base station. The network
20 further comprises means for selecting a new cluster-head and means for forming new clusters about the new cluster-heads. With such an arrangement, the network achieves energy-efficiency by (i) randomized, adaptive, self-configuring cluster formation, (ii) localized control for data transfers, and (iii) low-energy medium access. By providing for the selection of new cluster-heads, the energy load is balanced in order to prolong
25 the overall system life. Moreover, all the nodes in the network can share the limited channel bandwidth by using local low energy transmissions separated spatially from one another in order to avoid interference. The nodes can also enter a sleep mode to preserve energy. The nodes can additionally include attached microprocessors or control interfaces.

In accordance with a further aspect of the present invention a method of forming a network from a plurality of nodes includes the steps of (a) forming the nodes into a plurality of clusters for a round of data transmission, each of the plurality of clusters containing a cluster-head; (b) operating the cluster to transfer data; (c) selecting new
 5 cluster-heads; and (d) repeating steps (a)-(c) for a subsequent round of data transmission. With such an implementation, an energy efficient protocol is provided. The cluster-heads schedule data transfers to minimize collisions and maximize sleep time of the other nodes in the cluster, thereby reducing energy dissipation. Furthermore, the cluster formation is self configuring and fault tolerant.

10

In accordance with a still further aspect of the present invention a method of cluster operation includes the steps of collecting data in a cluster-head and reducing the data transmission latency by using application-specific data aggregation to reduce the amount of redundant data transmitted from the cluster-head to a base station. Local data
 15 aggregation at the cluster-heads greatly reduces the amount of data that needs to be sent to the base station. An application-specific protocol architecture achieves the energy- and latency-efficiency needed for wireless microsensor networks. In addition to helping avoid information overload, data aggregation, also known as data fusion, can combine several unreliable correlated data measurements to produce a more accurate signal by
 20 enhancing common signals and reducing uncorrelated noise. Since the method is application specific and can achieve a greater level of redundant data reduction, the method is energy- and latency-efficient. Thus, application-specific data aggregation can also increase the signal to noise ratio of the data sent to the base station. The classification or higher level processing of aggregated data can be performed manually
 25 (e.g., with the aid of a human operator) or automatically. In one embodiment, the method of performing data aggregation and the classification algorithm are application-specific. For example, acoustic signals are often combined using a beamforming algorithm to reduce several signals into a single signal that contains the relevant information of all the individual signals. Large energy gains can be achieved by performing the data fusion or

classification algorithm locally, thereby requiring much less data to be transmitted to the base station.

The benefits of the present techniques and network topology include localized
5 coordination and control for cluster setup and operation, randomized rotation of the
cluster-heads and formation of the corresponding clusters, and local data aggregation to
reduce global communication. The use of clusters for transmitting data to the base station
leverages the advantages of short transmit distances for most nodes, requiring only a few
nodes to transmit over far distances to the base station. The Low-Energy Adaptive
10 Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH) technique of the present invention outperforms classical
clustering algorithms by allowing the energy requirements of the network to be
distributed among all the sensors. In addition, LEACH is able to perform local
computation in each cluster to reduce the amount of data that must be transmitted to the
base station. This achieves a large reduction in the energy dissipation, because
15 computation is much less expensive than RF communication.

In accordance with a still further aspect of the present invention, it is possible to
form the clusters by collecting data on the status of each of the plurality of nodes and
assigning each of the plurality of nodes to a particular cluster. With such an alternative
20 cluster formation method, the base station can form clusters, which will generally be
more efficient than those formed using a distributed algorithm as described above.
However, the improved cluster formation is at the expense of requiring that each node
transmit information to the base station at the beginning of each round about its location.

25 Although the inventive teachings are disclosed with respect to wireless network
applications, the present teachings may be used for other applications (e.g., non-wireless
networks) as will be appreciated by those skilled in the art. Likewise, the type of sensor
associated with the microprocessor is not limited to an acoustic or seismic sensor but
could be an image sensor or any sensor as is known in the art which can be controlled by a
30 microprocessor. Additionally the microprocessors in the inventive network could operate

as a node with or without a sensor and could include a control module for operating external devices.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 The foregoing features of this invention, as well as the invention itself, may be more fully understood from the following description of the drawings in which:

FIG. 1A is a block diagram representing a network having nodes and a base station;

10 FIG. 1B is a block diagram representing a network having nodes organized into clusters with cluster-heads communicating with a base station according to the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a block diagram representing a typical wireless node;

FIG. 3 is a timing diagram of setup and steady state operation according to the present invention;

15 FIG. 4 is a flow diagram of a method of forming a distributed cluster according to the present invention;

FIG. 5A is a diagram of the result of dynamic cluster formation prior to a round of operation of the low-energy adaptive clustering hierarchy according to the present invention;

20 FIG. 5B is a diagram of the result of dynamic cluster formation in a round of operation subsequent to the round shown in FIG. 5A of the low-energy adaptive clustering hierarchy according to the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram illustrating steady state operation of the low energy adaptive clustering network;

25 FIG. 7 is a timing diagram of data transmission according to the present invention; and

FIGS. 8A, 8B, and 8C are diagrams of the correlation among data sensed by nodes according to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Before describing the system, some concepts are identified and terminology is explained. As used herein, the term “network” or “system” refers to a plurality of nodes which communicate with each other and with one node which may be designated as a “base station”.

In an effort to promote clarity in the text, reference is sometimes made herein to a particular type of network (e.g., a wireless network) or a particular network configuration. Such reference should not be taken as limiting the invention to a particular type of network or to a specific network configuration. The present invention finds application in any network in which the nodes are energy constrained.

Reference is also made herein to certain ones of the network nodes being part of a “cluster”. Each cluster is made of one node corresponding to a cluster-head and may contain additional nodes corresponding to non-cluster-head nodes. Each of the cluster-heads and non-cluster heads perform certain functions to be described below. Those of ordinary skill in the art should appreciate, however, that during the operation of a network organized using the inventive hierarchy, a node can at any given time be a cluster-head in one cluster and can be a non-cluster-head node in a different cluster at a subsequent point in time. It should thus be appreciated that references made hereinbelow to a particular node corresponding to a cluster-head are made only for ease of explanation and, in accordance with the invention, any node can be either a cluster-head or a non-cluster head. Furthermore, any non-cluster head can elect itself to be a cluster head.

It should also be understood that in some of the examples given below the nodes are identical or homogenous but that this need not be so. The adaptive cluster formation technique of the present invention, including the random selection of cluster-heads, can be used regardless of whether the nodes are homogenous. Furthermore, the techniques of the present invention are not affected by an unequal node energy distribution which can occur in some applications. The nodes described below are not required to be either fixed

or mobile. Likewise, as mentioned above, the present invention finds application in a wide variety of different network configurations and applications including but not limited to environmental monitoring, communications networks, image capture and seismic monitoring networks.

5

Referring now to FIG. 1A, a network 100 includes a base station 102, and a plurality of nodes 110a-110m generally denoted 110. All of the nodes 110 may have the capability to communicate with the base station 102.

10

Referring now to FIG. 1B, in which like elements of FIG. 1A are provided having like reference designations. Once the nodes 110 are deployed in their environment, the nodes are organized into a plurality of individual clusters 112a-112k, generally denoted 112. The number of clusters 112 generally expected for any particular application is preferably a configuration parameter.

15

The base station 102 can communicate with the plurality of clusters 112. Taking cluster 112a as representative of clusters 112, cluster 112a is made up of nodes 110a-110f. Node 110d corresponds to a cluster-head and is denoted 110d_{ch}. The base station 102, communicates with cluster 112a via transmissions to and from cluster-head 110d_{ch}.

20

The cluster 112a thus includes a plurality of non-cluster-head nodes 110a-110c, 110e and 110f and cluster-head 110d_{ch}.

25

The network 100 of the present invention implements a Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH) protocol. LEACH is an application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor network 10 which provides randomized, adaptive, self-configuring cluster formation; localized control for data transfers; low-energy medium access; and application-specific data aggregation to reduce the amount of data sent to the user. A clustering protocol has several advantages in terms of energy- and bandwidth-efficiency. During cluster formation, the nodes 110 organize themselves into local clusters 112a-112c generally denoted 112 and a subset of the nodes 110 act as so-

30

called cluster-heads. In FIG. 1B, nodes 110d, 110h and 110m correspond to the cluster-heads, generally denoted 110_{chs} . Using a clustering approach, all non-cluster-head nodes, generally denoted $110_{Non-chs}$, in clusters 112a-112k only need to transmit their data a short distance, i.e., to the respective cluster-heads $110d_{ch}$, $110h_{ch}$ and $110m_{ch}$. Furthermore, only
 5 the cluster-heads $110d_{ch}$, $110h_{ch}$ and $110m_{ch}$ need to receive data, which is an energy-intensive operation. Clustering can therefore reduce energy consumption for most of the nodes 110 in the network.

In addition, the cluster-head $110d_{ch}$ can coordinate the transmissions of the nodes
 10 110a-110c, 110e and 110f in the cluster 112a. This reduces the number of times two transmissions interfere with each other (a collision) and also reduces the amount of time nodes 110a-110c, 110e and 110f need to be awake to transmit data.

Furthermore, clusters 112a-112k can automatically facilitate spatial reuse of the
 15 bandwidth by reducing transmission energy so that nodes 110 having sufficient spatial separation can use the same transmission frequencies because these separate low-energy transmissions will not interfere with each other. Finally, if the data collected by nodes 110 within each cluster 112a-112k are correlated, the cluster-heads $110d_{ch}$, $110h_{ch}$ and $110m_{ch}$ can perform local data aggregation within each cluster 112a-112k as will be
 20 explained below.

If the cluster-heads 110_{chs} were chosen a priori and fixed throughout the system lifetime, as in conventional clustering algorithms, the cluster-heads 110_{chs} would die quickly, ending the useful lifetime of all nodes 110 belonging to clusters 112a-112c
 25 because there would be no way to communicate with the base station 102.

In accordance with the present invention, however, any node 110 in the network 100 can serve as a cluster-head at some point in time. In one embodiment, a randomized rotation of the high-energy cluster-heads 110_{chs} is used such that functions provided by
 30 the cluster-head rotate amongst the nodes 110 in order to avoid draining the power source

of any one node 110 in the network 100.

One particular technique for selecting cluster-heads and forming clusters is described below in conjunction with FIGS. 3 - 8C. In general, once the nodes 110 are
 5 deployed in the environment of interest, certain ones of the nodes elect themselves as cluster-heads. In the example of FIG. 1A, nodes 110d, 110h and 110m have elected themselves as cluster-heads. Next, the remaining nodes, (i.e., the non-cluster-head nodes 110_{Non-chs}) 110a-110c, 110e-110g, 110i-110j determine which cluster-head they will communicate with. The clusters 112a, 112b and 112c are formed once each non-cluster-
 10 head nodes 110_{Non-chs} selects a cluster-head 110_{ch} with which to communicate.

Cluster 112a', including nodes 110b, 110d, 110e, 110i and 110k with node 110e'_{ch} operating as the cluster head, represents a cluster formed subsequent to initial cluster formation. In cluster 112a', node 110d is no longer operating as the cluster head 110_{ch}.
 15 Likewise, cluster 112b', including nodes 110a, 110c, 110f, 110g, and 110h and 110j with node 110c'_{ch} operating as the cluster head is a subsequently formed cluster 112.

One possible node 110 architecture is shown in FIG. 2. It should be appreciated that nodes 110 can use commercially available components. In this particular example, a
 20 node 110 includes a microprocessor 128 such as a StrongARM (SA-1100) microprocessor running a lean version of the RedHat eCos operating system for implementation of digital signal processing (DSP) algorithms, powered from a DC/DC Power Converter 124 connected to a power source 122. The power source 122 can be provided, for example, as a battery. It should be appreciated that other power sources
 25 such as solar power or self-powered sources (e.g. power derived from machine vibrations) can power the nodes 110.

The microprocessor 128 controls a sensor 126, an analog to digital converter (A/D) 127 and an RF transceiver module 129. The RF transceiver module 129 includes a
 30 signal strength processor 123. The node can contain a control unit 125 for controlling

external devices. The use of the microprocessor 128 allows rapid, easy programming of the nodes 110 to execute protocols and enables monitoring of the energy dissipation required for the various functions performed within the protocol. The microprocessor 128 can also place the nodes 110 into a high energy, a low energy or a sleep state. The RF transceiver module 129 dissipates approximately 50 nJ/bit to 1000 nJ/bit to run the transmitter or receiver circuitry. Low energy radios are described in an article entitled "Energy-Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Microsensor Networks," W. Rabiner Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on System Sciences* (HICSS '00), January 2000.

The signal strength processor 123 is used in the cluster 112 formation process to determine the cluster-head 110_{ch} that requires the minimum communication energy for the non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} . It should be appreciated that other indirect means for determining communication energy, for example, a global positioning system (GPS) which can determine inter-nodal distances, can be used in the cluster 112 formation process. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that various types of sensors 126 and control interfaces including digital input and output modules, and digital to analog converters (DACs) could be interfaced to microprocessor and that a node could have a range of power requirements.

The node 110 also includes a cluster-head selector processor 128b and a cluster selector processor 128a. The detailed operations of cluster-head selector processor 128b and cluster selector processor 128a will be described below in conjunction with FIG. 4. Suffice it here to say that cluster-head selector processor 128b determines when the node will elect or designate itself as a cluster-head and that cluster selector processor 128a determines the cluster-head with which the node will communicate once it is determined that the node is a non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} . The cluster and cluster-head selection processors may be implemented as individual circuits or processors or may be provided as part of microprocessor 128 (i.e., microprocessor 128 would perform the functions of the cluster and cluster-head selection circuits). The blocks denoted "processors" can

represent computer software instructions or groups of instructions. Alternatively, the processing blocks represent steps performed by functionally equivalent circuits such as a digital signal processor circuit or an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC).

5 It should be appreciated that since the functions performed by the cluster-head are swapped or rotated amongst each of the nodes 100, then the cluster and cluster-head selector processor 128b in each node must periodically operate to determine whether they should become a cluster-head and if not, to determine which cluster they should join.

10 In operation, the system communication over time is divided by the protocol into rounds 130 as shown in FIG. 3. Each round 130 begins with a set-up phase 132 when the clusters 100 are formed, followed by a steady-state phase 133 in which several frames 134 of data are transferred from the non-cluster-head nodes $110_{\text{Non-chs}}$ to the respective cluster-heads $110a_{\text{ch}}$ and on to the base station 102. In order to minimize overhead, the
15 steady-state phase 133 is long compared to the set-up phase 132. Using cluster 112a as an example, in each frame 134, each node 110a–110c, 110e and 110f is assigned a time slot to transmit data collected from each associated sensor 126 (as shown in FIG. 2) to the cluster-head $110d_{\text{ch}}$. The nodes 110 must all be time-synchronized in order to start the set-up phase 132 at the same time. The nodes 110 are preferably synchronized by the
20 base station 102 transmitting synchronizing signals to the cluster-heads 110_{chs} which in turn synchronize the non-cluster-head nodes $110_{\text{Non-chs}}$. It should be appreciated that other methods can be used to synchronize the nodes 110 such as a signal from a global time source. Thus, each cluster 112a - 112c begins each round 130 at the same time.

25 Routing and medium access in the system are preferably selected to minimize energy and latency by exploiting application-specific information. High-energy data transfers in the system 100 are scheduled during each round 130 by the then designated cluster-heads $110d_{\text{ch}}$, $110h_{\text{ch}}$ and $110m_{\text{ch}}$ to ensure no collisions in the data transmissions and to allow non-cluster-head nodes $110_{\text{Non-chs}}$ to remain in the sleep state as long as
30 possible. The medium access during all phases of the system is preferably selected to

minimize collisions and maximize sleep time. Including a sleep state is one method to lower the medium access energy requirements. Additionally adjustable power levels and other techniques known in the art can be used to conserve energy during operation.

5 The system 100 also facilitates local processing of the data at each of the cluster-heads 110_{chs}. Data aggregation techniques can be used to reduce the amount of data that needs to be transmitted from each of the cluster-heads 110_{chs} to the base station 102, greatly minimizing the energy dissipated in the cluster-heads 110_{chs}.

10 In a preferred embodiment, the system forms clusters 112a-112c by using a distributed algorithm, where nodes 110 make autonomous decisions without any centralized control. The advantages of this approach are that no long-distance communication with the base station 102 is required and distributed cluster formation can be accomplished without knowing the exact location of any of the nodes 110 in the
15 network 100. In addition, no global communication among the nodes 110 is necessary to set up the clusters 112. Rather, clusters 112 are formed out of the nodes 110, purely via local decisions made autonomously by each node 110. Starting after an initial round 130 of operation denoted round r, some of the nodes 110 elect themselves to be cluster-heads 110_{ch} at the beginning of round 130 (r+1) (which starts at time t, denoted by reference
20 number 135) with a certain probability, P_i (t). This probability is chosen such that the expected number, E, of cluster-heads 110_{chs} for this round 130 is k, a network parameter. Thus:

$$E[\# \text{ CH}] = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(t) * 1 = k \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where N is the total number of nodes 110 in the network. The parameter K is the average
25 number of clusters and is preferably a parameter set by the system administrator when the network 100 is configured. In another embodiment the parameter K could be changed dynamically by the base station 102 or calculated automatically. The calculation of the optimum number of clusters is described in “Application-Specific Protocol Architectures for Wireless Networks,” W. Heinzelman, PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of

Technology, June 2000.

In order to maximize system lifetime, all nodes 110 preferably dissipate an equal amount of energy. This requires an approach, in which, no individual node 110 is being
 5 overly utilized compared to the other nodes 110. Because being a cluster-head is significantly more energy-intensive than being a non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$, this requirement translates to having the nodes 110 be cluster-heads 110_{chs} the same number of times, assuming all nodes 110 begin with approximately the same amount of energy. If k nodes 110 are cluster-heads 110_{chs} during each round 130, each node 110 should be a
 10 cluster-head 110_{ch} once in N/k rounds. Combining these constraints, provides the following probability for each node 110 (node i) to be a cluster-head 110_{ch} at time t :

$$P_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{N-k*(r \bmod N/k)} & C_i(t) = 1 \\ 0 & C_i(t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where r is the number of rounds 130 that have been completed and $C_i(t) = 0$ if node i 110
 15 has already been a cluster-head 110 in the most recent $(r \bmod N/k)$ rounds and 1 otherwise. Therefore, only nodes 110 that have not already been cluster-heads 110_{ch} , and hence have more energy available than nodes 110 that have performed this energy-intensive function, may become cluster-heads 110_{chs} at round 130 $r+1$. There will be approximately $(N-k)*r$ nodes 110 that have not been cluster-heads 110_{chs} in the first r
 20 rounds 130. After all nodes 110 have been cluster-heads 110_{chs} (which occurs in N/k rounds), all nodes 110 are again eligible to become cluster-heads 110_{chs} . Therefore, the term $N-k*(r \bmod N/k)$ represents the total number of nodes 110 that are eligible to be a cluster-head 110_{ch} during round 130 $(r+1)$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^N C_i(t) = N-k*(r \bmod N/k) \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

25 This ensures that the energy at each node 110 is approximately equal after every N/k rounds 130. Using Equations (2) and (3), the expected number of cluster-heads 110_{chs} per round 130 is:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\# \text{ CH}] &= \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(t) * 1 \\
&= (N - k * (r \bmod N/k)) * k / (N - k * (r \bmod N/k)) \\
&= k
\end{aligned} \tag{Equation 4}$$

5

This choice of probability for becoming a cluster-head 110_{ch} assumes that all nodes 110 begin with an equal amount of energy. If each node 110 begins with different amounts of energy, the nodes 110 with more energy will be cluster-heads 110_{chs} more often than the nodes 110 with less energy, in order to ensure that all nodes 110 die at approximately the same time. In this case, the probability of becoming a cluster-head 110_{ch} depends on a node's 110 energy level relative to the aggregate energy remaining in the network 10, rather than purely the number of times the node 110 has been a cluster-head 110_{ch} :

$$P_i(t) = (E_i(t) / E_{\text{total}}(t)) * k \tag{Equation 5}$$

where $E_i(t)$ is the current energy of node i , and

$$E_{\text{total}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i(t) \tag{Equation 6}$$

Using these probabilities, the nodes 110 with higher energy are more likely to become cluster-heads 110_{ch} than nodes 110 with less energy. The expected number of cluster-heads 110_{chs} is:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\# \text{ CH}] &= \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(t) * 1 \\
&= (E_1(t) / E_{\text{total}} + \dots + E_N(t) / E_{\text{total}}) k \\
&= k
\end{aligned} \tag{Equation 7}$$

25

Equation 5 can be approximated by Equation 2 when the nodes 110 begin with equal energy, E_o . If a node 110 has been a cluster-head $110A_{\text{ch}}$ in the last $r < N/k$ rounds 130, its energy is approximately $E_o - E_{\text{CH}}$, where E_{CH} is a large number less than E_o . If the

node has not been a cluster-head 110 A_{ch} in the last r rounds 130, its energy is approximately E_o , since being a non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} does not require much energy from the node 110. Since $k*r$ nodes 110 have been cluster-heads 110_{chs} and $(N-k)*r$ nodes 110 have not been cluster-heads 110_{chs} in the last r rounds 130, the total energy is given by:

$$E_{total} = E_o(N-kr) + (E_o - E_{CH})(kr) \quad (\text{Equation 8})$$

Therefore, Equation 5 becomes:

$$P_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{E_o k}{E_o(N - kr) + (E_o - E_{CH})kr} & C_i(t) = 1 \\ \frac{(E_o - E_{CH})k}{E_o(N - kr) + (E_o - E_{CH})kr} & C_i(t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Equation 9})$$

Since $E_o \gg (E_o - E_{CH})$, this can be simplified to:

$$P_i(t) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{k}{N-kr} & C_i(t) = 1 \\ 0 & C_i(t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Equation 10})$$

This is exactly the same equation as Equation 2 (for $r < N/k$). Using the probabilities in Equation 5 requires that each node 110 have an estimate of the total energy of all the nodes 110 in the network, and hence requires a routing protocol that allows this to be computed, whereas the probabilities in Equation 2 enable each node 110 to make completely autonomous decisions. An alternate approach to avoid the routing protocol might be to approximate the aggregate network energy by averaging the energy of the nodes 110 in each cluster 100 and multiplying by N .

20

With reference to FIG. 4, at step 500, nodes 110 determine if they have elected themselves to be local cluster-heads 110_{chs} at any given time with a certain probability as given in Equations 2 and 5. At step 510, these cluster-heads 110_{chs} broadcast their status to the other nodes 110 in the network. At step 550, each node 110 chooses the cluster-head 110_{ch} with which it wants to communicate.

25

In a preferred embodiment, each non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$ measures the signal strength of the cluster-head status announcements transmitted by the cluster-heads 110_{chs} . Each non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$ compares the signal strengths of received status messages and selects the cluster-head 110_{ch} that requires the minimum communication energy for the non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$. By selecting a cluster-head 110_{ch} , the non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$ also selects the cluster 112 to which it will belong for that round 130. It should be appreciated that although the cluster-head 110_{ch} with the strongest signal (relative to the selecting node 110) would typically be chosen by each non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$ other criteria such as environmental factors and geography can be used in selecting the cluster 112 to be joined for each round 130.

Once all the nodes 110 are organized into clusters 112, each of the cluster-heads 110_{chs} creates a schedule, step 530, for the nodes 110 in its cluster. This allows the radio components of each non-cluster-head node $110_{\text{Non-ch}}$ to be turned off at all times except during its transmit time, thus minimizing the energy dissipated in the individual nodes 110. Once the cluster-head 110_{ch} has all the data from the nodes 110 in its cluster 112, the cluster-head 110_{ch} aggregates the data and then transmits the aggregated data to the base station 102. Since the base station 102 can be located far away this could be a high energy transmission.

Since there are relatively few cluster-heads 110_{chs} compared with the total number of nodes 110, this only affects a small number (K) of nodes 110. As discussed above, being a cluster-head 110_{ch} drains that node's 110 battery more heavily. In order to spread this energy usage over multiple nodes 110, the cluster-heads 110_{chs} are not fixed; rather, this position is self-elected at different time intervals. Thus a set C of nodes 110 might elect themselves cluster-heads at time t_1 , but at time $t_1 + d$ a new set C' of nodes elect themselves as cluster-heads

In FIG. 1B, for example, nodes $110d_{\text{ch}}$, $110h_{\text{ch}}$ and $110m_{\text{ch}}$ are shown as cluster-

heads for a particular transmission round. In a subsequent transmission round, however, nodes 110e, 110g and 110i can elect themselves as cluster-heads and nodes 110d, 110h and 110m are non-cluster-head nodes $110_{\text{Non-chs}}$.

5 Furthermore, the clusters themselves may include different nodes and a different number of clusters can be used for a given round of communications. For example, referring briefly to FIG. 1B, if node 110e is a cluster-head, cluster 112a' may be comprised of nodes 110b, 110d, 110i and 110r. Similarly, if node 110g is a cluster-head, then cluster 112b' may be comprised of nodes 110a, 110c, 110f, 110h and 110j.

10

While the distributed algorithm for determining cluster-heads 110_{chs} ensures that the expected number of clusters 100 per round is k (a configuration parameter), it does not guarantee that there are k clusters at each round. In addition, the set-up protocol does not guarantee that nodes are evenly distributed among the cluster-heads. Therefore, the number of nodes per cluster is highly variable in LEACH, and the amount of data each node can send to the cluster-head 110_{ch} varies depending on the number of nodes in the cluster. It is possible that a smaller cluster 112 can have more data transmission cycles in a round 130 than a larger cluster 112. The decision to become a cluster-head 110_{ch} depends at least in part on the amount of energy left at the node 110. In this way, nodes 110 with more energy remaining will perform the energy-intensive functions of the network 10.

With reference again to FIG. 4, once the nodes 110 have elected themselves at step 500 to be cluster-heads 110_{ch} using the probabilities in Equation 2 or 5, the cluster-heads 110_{chs} must let all the other nodes 110 in the network 10 know that they have chosen this role for the current round 130. To do this, each cluster-head 110_{ch} broadcasts an advertisement message (ADV) at step 510 preferably using a carrier-sense multiple access (CSMA) Media Access Control (MAC) protocol. It should be appreciated that other protocols may be used. This message is a small message containing an identified (ID) of each node 110 and a header that distinguishes this message as an announcement

30

message. This message must be broadcast to reach all of the nodes 110 in the network 10. The reason for this is two-fold. First, ensuring that all nodes 110 receive the advertisement essentially eliminates collisions when carrier-sense is used, since there is no hidden terminal problem. Second, since there is no guarantee that the nodes 110 that elect themselves to be cluster-heads 110_{ch} are spread evenly throughout the network 10, using enough power to reach all nodes 110 ensures that every node 110 can become part of a cluster 100. If the power of the advertisement messages was reduced, some nodes 110 on the edge of the network may not receive any announcements and therefore may not be able to participate in this round 130 of the protocol. Since these advertisement messages are small, the increased power to reach all nodes 110 in the network 10 is not a burden.

If at step 510, no cluster-heads 110_{ch} are elected, the base station 102 can preferably communicate with the nodes 110 for the current round 130. Alternatively, the base station 102 can signal the nodes 110 to reform the clusters 112 by returning to step 500.

At step 540, each non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} determines to which cluster it wants to belong by choosing the cluster-head 110_{ch} that requires the minimum communication energy, based on the received signal strength of the advertisement from each cluster-head 110_{ch} . Assuming symmetric propagation channels for pure signal strength, the cluster-head 110 advertisement heard with the largest signal strength is the cluster-head 110_{ch} to whom the minimum amount of transmitted energy is needed for communication. This will typically be the cluster-head 110_{ch} closest to the sensor node 110. However, if there is some obstacle impeding the communication between two physically close nodes 110 (e.g., a building, a tree, etc.) such that communication with another cluster-head 110_{ch} , located further away, is easier, the sensor will choose the cluster-head that is spatially further away but "closer" in a communication sense. In the case of ties (e.g., two cluster-heads require same amount of energy to reach a non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch}), a random function is used to select the cluster-head 110_{ch} with which

the node will communicate.

After each node 110 has decided to which cluster 112 it belongs, it must inform the cluster-head 110_{ch} of that cluster that it will be a member of that cluster. At step 550, each node 110 transmits a join-request message (Join-REQ) back to the chosen cluster-head 110_{ch} using CSMA. This message is again a short message, consisting of the ID of the node 110, the ID of the cluster-head 110_{ch} , and a header. Since each nodes 110 has an indication of the relative power needed to reach the cluster-head 110_{ch} (based on the received power of the advertisement message), it could adjust its transmit power to this level. However, this approach suffers from the hidden-terminal problem; if a node 110 close to the cluster-head 110_{ch} is currently transmitting a join-request message using low-power, the remaining nodes 110 in the cluster 112 cannot sense that this transmission is occurring and may decide to transmit their own join-request messages. Since these messages are small, it is more energy-efficient to increase the transmit power of the join-request messages than to use an IEEE 802.11 protocol approach of transmitting request to send – clear to send (RTS-CTS) messages. Since the cluster-head 110_{ch} does not know the size of its cluster 112, it would need to transmit the CTS message using large power to reach all potential cluster member, nodes 110. In addition, simply increasing the transmit power reduces the latency and increases the sleep time allowed for all the nodes 110 compared with an RTS-CTS approach. Therefore, the nodes use a large amount of power for transmissions of the short join-request messages to the cluster-heads 110_{chs} .

The cluster-heads 110_{chs} act as local control centers to coordinate the data transmissions in their respective clusters 112. In step 530, the cluster-head sets up a Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) schedule and transmits this schedule to the nodes 110 in the cluster 112. This ensures that there are no collisions among data messages and also allows the radio components of each non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} to be turned off at all times except during their transmit time, thus minimizing the energy dissipated by the individual sensors. After the TDMA schedule is known by all nodes 110 in the cluster 112, the set-up phase is complete and the steady-state operation (data transmission) can

begin. It should be appreciated that other protocols such as Frequency Division Multiplexing may be used to collect data.

FIGS. 5A and 5B show dynamic cluster formation during two different rounds 130 of LEACH. All nodes 110 marked with a given symbol (e.g., circle, x, square, triangle, cross) belong to the same cluster 112 for a given round of operation, and the cluster-heads are designated as 110_{ch} . A cluster 112 can contain a single node 110 acting as the cluster-head 110_{ch} . The clusters 112 can vary in shape and size from one round 130 (r) to the next round 130 (r+1). The actual number of clusters 112 can vary from the expected number of clusters 112 in any given round.

FIG. 6 illustrates the operational steps during the steady-state phase. After the cluster set-up step 600, the non-cluster-head nodes $110_{Non-chs}$ are determined in step 610 and these nodes 110 send their data to the cluster-head 110_{ch} at most once per frame 134 (shown in FIG. 3) during their allocated transmission time. This transmission uses an amount of energy determined from the received strength of the cluster-head 110_{ch} advertisement. In step 650, the radio of each non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} is turned off until its allocated transmission time, thus reducing the energy dissipated in these nodes. Decision block 660 implements a loop in which steps 600-650 are repeated until enough time has elapsed for the round to end. The duration of a round 130 can be set as a parameter by the system administrator or can be controlled by the base station 102 as a function of the energy distribution in the nodes 110. Since all the nodes 110 usually have data to send to the cluster-head 110_{ch} and the total bandwidth is fixed, using a TDMA schedule is efficient use of bandwidth and represents a low-latency approach, in addition to being energy-efficient.

Once the decision is made in decision block 660 that the amount of time for this round has elapsed, then nodes 110 send their data during the scheduled time as shown in step 670 and then return to the sleep mode, step 680, until the next frame 134.

If in decision block 610, a decision is made that the node is the cluster-head, then processing flows to step 620. In decision block 620, if a decision is made that not enough time has elapsed, then processing returns to step 600 and steps 600-620 are repeated. If in decision block 620, a decision is made that sufficient time has elapsed for the round to end, then processing proceeds to step 630. The cluster-head 110_{ch} must keep its receiver on during the round to receive all the data from the nodes 110 in the cluster 112. As shown in step 630, after the cluster-head 110_{ch} receives all the data for a frame 134, it can operate on data step 640 (e.g., performing data aggregation, as discussed below) and then send the resultant data (or the original data) from the cluster-heads 110_{chs} to the base station 102. Since the base station 102 may be far away and the data message is large, this is a high-energy transmission.

FIG. 7 shows a time-line operation of network 100 operation. Data transmissions are explicitly scheduled to avoid collisions and increase the amount of time each non-cluster-head node 110_{Non-ch} can remain in the sleep state.

The MAC and routing protocols ensure low-energy dissipation in the nodes 110 and no collisions of data messages within a cluster 100. However, radio is inherently a broadcast medium. As such, transmission in one cluster (e.g., cluster 112a in FIG. 5A) will affect (and often degrade) communication in a nearby cluster (e.g., cluster 112b in FIG. 5A). To reduce inter-cluster interference, each cluster 100 in LEACH communicates using a unique orthogonal spreading code, as in a CDMA network. Thus, when a node 110 decides to become a cluster-head 110_{ch} , it chooses randomly from a list of spreading codes. It informs all the nodes 110 in the cluster to transmit using this spreading code and filters all received energy using this spreading code. As in a cellular network, the interference comes from the nodes 110 in surrounding clusters 112. To reduce the possibility of interfering with nearby clusters 100 and reduce its own energy dissipation, each node 110 adjusts its transmit power to minimize interference. Therefore, little spreading of the data is actually needed to ensure a low probability of collision.

In a large sensor network 100, it would be difficult for an end-user to examine all the data from each sensor node 110 in the network. Therefore, each cluster-head can preferably utilize automated methods of combining or aggregating the data into a small set of meaningful information using techniques known in the art. In addition to helping avoid information overload, data aggregation, or data fusion, can combine several unreliable data measurements to produce a more accurate signal by enhancing the common signal and reducing the uncorrelated noise. One method of aggregating data is beamforming. Beamforming combines signals from multiple sensor nodes 110 in order to satisfy an optimization criteria, such as minimizing mean squared error (MSE) or maximizing signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Various algorithms such as the least mean squared (LMS) error approach and the maximum power beamforming algorithm have been developed to beamform signals. These algorithms have various energy and quality tradeoffs. For example, the maximum power beamforming algorithm is capable of performing blind beamforming, requiring no information about the sensor node 110 locations. However, this algorithm is compute-intensive, which will quickly drain the limited energy of the node.

Data aggregation can be performed at the cluster-heads 110_{chs} in network 100. If the energy for communication is greater than the energy for computation, performing the data aggregation algorithm locally at the cluster-head 110_{ch} can greatly reduce the overall system energy, since much less data needs to be transmitted to the base station 102. This will allow large computation versus communication energy gains with little to no loss in overall network quality.

25

In order for the cluster-heads 110_{chs} to perform data aggregation to compress the data into a single signal, data from the different nodes 110 in the cluster must be correlated. Because it is difficult to determine exact correlation, a data-independent model determines the amount of correlation that exists between the data from different sensor nodes 110. The model is based on the assumption that the source signal travels a

30

distance before it can no longer be reliably detected by the sensors 126, and that the sensors 126 are omnidirectional (e.g., acoustic, seismic sensors).

Now referring to FIG. 8A, this implies that the maximum distance between
 5 sensors 126 with correlated data is 2ρ covering area 190. However, being within 2ρ of each other does not guarantee that the two sensors 126 will detect the same signal as shown in FIG. 8B.

FIG. 8C shows the minimum amount of overlap when all nodes 110 in a cluster
 10 are separated by at most distance d and their view of the world has a radius ρ . From FIG. 8C, it is seen that the total amount of overlap, O , in the nodes' 110 view of source signals is at least:

$$O \geq \pi \left(\rho - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \quad (\text{Equation 11})$$

15 Therefore, the fraction of overlap f is

$$f \geq \frac{\pi \left(\rho - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2}{\pi \rho^2} \quad (\text{Equation 12})$$

If d is written as a fraction x of ρ , $d = x\rho$, then the amount of overlap simplifies to

$$f \geq \left(1 - \frac{x}{2} \right)^2 \quad (\text{Equation 13})$$

20 If the system requires that there be over 50% overlap, this means that the maximum distance between nodes in the cluster is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{x}{2} \right)^2 > 0.5$$

$$x < 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$x < 0.5858 \quad (\text{Equation 14})$$

or $d < 0.6p$.

Referring to FIG. 8C, to ensure at least 50% overlap in the data to employ the local data aggregation scheme discussed above, the maximum distance between any two nodes whose signals are beamformed must be approximately $0.6p$. If the maximum distance is greater than $0.6p$, the cluster-head must perform separate data aggregations to ensure high quality signals.

In an alternate embodiment of the cluster formation process, when clusters need to be formed (e.g., at the beginning of each round), each node sends some information to base station 102 and base station 102 executes an optimization algorithm to determine the optimal clusters for that round. The clusters formed by the base station 102 will in general be better than those formed using the distributed algorithm described above. However, this approach requires that each node transmit information to the base station 102 at the beginning of each round about its location. This may be achieved by using a GPS receiver (not shown) that is activated at the beginning of each round to get the current location of each node. In addition, each node must send its current energy level to the base station 102.

In this base station cluster formation process, the base station 102 computes the average node energy, and whichever nodes have energy below this average cannot be a cluster-head for the current round. Using the remaining nodes as possible cluster-heads, the base station 102 performs a simulated annealing algorithm as is known in the art, to determine the best k nodes to be cluster-heads for the next round and the associated clusters. This approach minimizes the amount of energy the non-cluster-head nodes will have to use to transmit their data to the cluster-head, by minimizing the total sum of squared distances between all the non-cluster-head nodes and the closest cluster-head.

In one embodiment, the base station 102 can use the simulated annealing

algorithm, given the current optimum set of cluster-heads 110, C, a new set of cluster-heads 110' C' will become optimal at iteration k with probability

$$p_k = \begin{cases} e^{-(f(C') - f(C)) / (1000 e^{-k/20})} & : f(C') \geq f(C) \\ 0 & : f(C') < f(C) \end{cases} \quad (\text{Equation 15})$$

5 where $f(C)$ represents the cost function defined by

$$f(C) = \sum_{i=1}^N \min_{c \in C} d^2(i, c) \quad (\text{Equation 16})$$

where $d(i, c)$ is the distance between node 110i and node 110c. In this case the nodes 110 having above average energy are more likely to become cluster heads 110_{chs}. This algorithm typically converges in 200-500 iterations for a network which includes one
 10 hundred nodes 110. Since these computations are being performed at the base station 102, energy dissipation is not a concern. It should be appreciated that other algorithms which minimize the cost function $f(C)$ can be used for cluster allocation.

Once the optimal cluster-heads 110_{chs} and associated clusters 112 are found, the
 15 base station 102 transmits this information back to all of the nodes 110 in the network. This is done by broadcasting a message that contains the cluster-head ID for each node 110. If a node's cluster-head ID matches it's own ID, that node 110 takes on the cluster-head 110_{ch} role; otherwise, the node 110 determines its TDMA slot for data transmission and goes to sleep until it is time to transmit data to its cluster-head 110A_{ch}. The steady-
 20 state phase in this approach is identical to that shown in FIG. 5.

In another alternate embodiment, an "event-driven" protocol is implemented so that sensors nodes 110 only transmit data if some event occurs in the environment thereby further reducing the amount of data transferred to the cluster-head 110_{chs} and to
 25 the base station 102.

All publications and references cited herein are expressly incorporated herein by

reference in their entirety.

Having described the preferred embodiments of the invention, it will now become apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that other embodiments incorporating their
 5 concepts may be used. It is felt therefore that these embodiments should not be limited to disclosed embodiments but rather should be limited only by the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES